SGS SA
Update following EMTN rating

Summary
SGS SA (SGS or the company) is the market leader in the global testing, inspection and certification (TIC) industry. Even though the coronavirus outbreak created significant disruption over the past 12 months, we believe that the sector will recover strongly based on its solid growth fundamentals such as economic and population growth in emerging markets, increasing complexity of supply chains, the importance of brand reputation and regulation. Ongoing trade tensions and the coronavirus outbreak will accelerate changes in some global supply chains. SGS’s global footprint allows it to retain and capture market share as supply chains are nearshored or reshored.

SGS has a relatively high level of sector and geographic diversity which has historically helped to support stability of earnings, delivering positive annual organic revenue growth throughout the economic cycle. However, in 2020 organic revenue decreased by 6.5% in 2020 due to global lockdown measures resulting in limited economic activity and the inability to access customers’ sites. Recovery began in second half of 2020 and the company returned to growth in December.

We expect 2021 to be a period of uneven recovery, as we forecast a global GDP growth of 5.3% for the G-20 economies in 2021 after a 3.3% contraction in 2020. The Agriculture, Food and Life and Consumer & Retail segments will continue to be drivers of growth for SGS in 2021 and beyond, with other areas more exposed to the economic cycle or logistical challenges posed by the continuation of the coronavirus outbreak. We understand management intends to continue to focus its M&A strategy on increasing exposure towards higher added value and more complex services including Life Sciences, Connectivity, Food and Environment.

The company achieves stable, high adjusted operating margins (16.1% in 2020, reported basis), and we expect that profitability will remain one of management’s key areas of focus. The move to increase the weight of higher-value added services in the portfolio, together with active portfolio management and initiatives in procurement, productivity and digitalisation are expected to support profitability growth.

The company delivers high levels of operating cash flows and has conservative balance sheet and financial policies. In 2020 Moody’s adjusted net leverage was 1.6x and Moody’s-adjusted gross leverage was 3x. Management’s cash preservation measures have resulted in robust cash flow metrics, which together with the good liquidity available continue to support SGS’s rating positioning. We expect that leverage will reduce from 2021 onwards to levels more commensurate with the rating category, driven by revenue growth as economic activity returns to more normalised levels.
Credit Strengths

» Strong long-term track record of growth through the cycle and positive long-term industry growth dynamics

» Market leadership, global reach and sector diversity

» Track record of effective portfolio management and cost efficiencies, offsetting price pressure in mature segments

» Low leverage, high operational cash generation and solid liquidity

Credit Challenges

» Exposure to cyclical sectors and commoditisation in challenging macro-economic conditions

» Fragmented and competitive markets exposed to change in technology and innovation, the latter bringing opportunities and threats

» Currency translation exposures particularly to depreciating emerging markets currencies

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects primarily the appropriate positioning in the A3 category and our expectation that the company will generate continued positive organic growth and that the long-term growth outlook of the industry is sustained post 2020. Additionally we expect that company will maintain conservative financial policies with low leverage and solid liquidity.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

Positive pressure on the ratings could arise if (1) Moody’s-adjusted leverage falls comfortably below 2x on a sustainable basis; (2) Moody’s-adjusted retained cash flow/net debt in the mid-30s in percentage terms or above while maintaining positive organic growth and stable or growing margins; and (3) the company maintains a conservative financial policy.

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

Negative ratings pressure could develop if (1) Moody’s-adjusted leverage increases above 2.5x on a sustained basis; (2) there is a material decline in growth or profitability for a sustained period of time; (3) Moody’s-adjusted retained cash flow/net debt falls below the mid-20s in percentage terms or (3) the company adopts more aggressive financial policies in relation to leverage, shareholder distributions and/or liquidity.
Key Indicators

SGS SA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue (USD billion)</td>
<td>$6.6</td>
<td>$7.0</td>
<td>$6.9</td>
<td>$6.0</td>
<td>$6.6</td>
<td>$6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITA Margin</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt/ EBITDA</td>
<td>2.0x</td>
<td>2.1x</td>
<td>2.0x</td>
<td>3.0x</td>
<td>2.5x</td>
<td>2.3x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITA / Interest</td>
<td>18.0x</td>
<td>17.5x</td>
<td>19.4x</td>
<td>15.4x</td>
<td>18.1x</td>
<td>19.7x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCF / Net Debt</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[2] This represents Moody’s forward view, not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures
Source: Moody’s Financial Metrics™

Company Profile

SGS is the global leader in the testing, inspection and certification market. The company is headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland and is listed on the Swiss Stock Exchange. The company’s market capitalisation was CHF19.9 billion as at 26 March 2021.

SGS operates a network of more than 2,600 offices and laboratories worldwide and employs over 89,000 staff. In 2020, the company reported revenues of CHF5.6 billion and adjusted operating income of CHF900 million.

Detailed Credit Considerations

High levels of sector and geographic diversity

In the last half of 2020 SGS completed a strategic refocussing plan in order align its global network more closely to key TIC megatrends and customer demand. It is now organised as six areas of focus compared to eight previously. There are now four divisions and two cross-divisional strategic units:

Divisions:

» Connectivity & Products
» Health & Nutrition
» Industries & Environment
» Natural Resources

Cross-Divisional Strategic Units

» Knowledge
» Digital & Innovation

Revenues are well spread across divisions, with no more than 19% represented by a single division (segmentation to change going forward as discussed above) whilst customer concentration is low. The largest customers include oil majors, national governments, retailers and energy companies. The diversity of revenues has enabled the company to achieve long-term positive organic growth at a group level over periods of constraints in capital investment and in commodities-related markets.
Revenues are spread across Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA, 45% of 2020 revenues), Asia Pacific (35%) and Americas (20%). Emerging markets in total represent approximately c.55% of revenues and are a key driver of growth due to increasing trade flows, improving product quality standards and growth in private consumption.

Ongoing trade tensions and the coronavirus outbreak will accelerate changes in some global supply chains. SGS’s global footprint allows it to retain or capture market share as supply chains are nearshored or reshored.

Long-term track record of positive organic growth and positive long-term growth fundamentals

SGS has delivered positive organic revenue growth through the cycle, with a low of 1.3% in 2009 and positive growth in each of the last 10 years, apart from 2020 which was exceptional due to the coronavirus pandemic. This reflects the company’s high sector, geographic and customer diversity and its entrenched positions with customers. Customer retention rates are very high supported by the company’s strong reputation, the low average invoice and integration of upstream laboratory activities into customer operations.

SGS benefits from high levels of sector diversity and sound levels of growth within the TIC sector as a whole. This is driven by a range of factors, in particular increasing regulation and regulatory demand for independent testing, increasing customer focus on regulatory compliance and risk management, greater demand for product quality amongst emerging markets consumers, the growing complexity and globalisation of supply chains, and growing concerns over environmental, health and safety and food standards. These factors have historically driven growth (excluding cyclical factors) in the mid to high single digits. Despite the disruption caused by the coronavirus outbreak, we still expect that the TIC industry will continue to be able to grow ahead of GDP from 2021.
**Exposure to cyclical markets and commoditisation in challenging macro-economic conditions**

The coronavirus outbreak had a significant impact in SGS’s revenues, but after decreasing by 10.4% in H1 2020, the recovery seen in H2 2020 meant that the decrease for the year 2020 was only 6.5%. The decline was mainly due to global lockdown measures resulting in limited economic activity, and some of the first half revenue was not lost but delayed into H2.

Although organic revenue declined across all divisions except Consumer and Retail (CRS) (+2.3%), the extent of the decline varied greatly from moderate at the Agriculture, Food and Life (AFL) (-1.1%) and to significant at Oil Gas & Chemicals (OGC) (-22.9%) and Industrial (IND) (-15.2%), all on a constant currency basis. We understand that a big driver of the decline at the latter two divisions was the inability to access customers’ sites.

Whilst there is a broad sector diversity SGS is exposed to certain commodity markets and their inherent cyclicality, in particular the OGC and mineral sectors, with exposure to upstream oil & gas also captured in the Industrials division. All three divisions represented 40% of revenues in 2020 vs 41% 2019, a steadily declining proportion of the total group revenue as the company continues to diversify and grow faster in other sectors.

---

**Exhibit 5**  
Exposure to commodity markets has steadily declined over the last ten years  
2009 and 2019 revenue by division

- Agriculture, Food and Life (AFL)  
- Oil, Gas & Chemicals (OGC)  
- Certification and Business Enhancement (CBE)  
- Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS)  
- Governments & Institutions (GIS)  
- Minerals (MIN)  
- Consumer and Retail (CRS)  
- Industrial (IND)  
- Transportation (TRP)

Inner circle represents 2009 revenue by division while outer circle represents that of 2019; AFL includes both Agriculture and Life Sciences  
Source: Company

SGS’s total revenue grew by 40% to CHF6.6 billion 2009 - 2019, before the pandemic-driven drop in 2020. The AFL division is a key driver of, followed by Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) and Transportation (TRP), with all of the other divisions growing either at par or below group total, as shown in exhibit 6. We note that effective as of 1 January 2020, TRP is no longer reported separately in SGS’ accounts.

We expect that AFL and CRS will continue to be drivers of growth for SGS in 2021 and beyond (under the new divisional segmentation), with other divisions more exposed to the economic cycle or logistical challenges posed by the outbreak. We understand management intends to focus its M&A strategy in reducing exposure to commodity sectors and inspection services towards more value added testing and certification services.

**Leading market position in a highly fragmented industry with significant M&A activity**

A large share of TIC activities are still conducted in-house or by public organisations, but we expect that outsourcing will continue given the increased volumes and complexity created by the trends above. In the outsourced market (about 45% of total according to the company) the top 20 companies globally have around a 40% combined market share, followed by a long tail of smaller companies.

The testing industry is fragmented and competitive in nature. The market set-up tends to favour large companies such as SGS which benefit from barriers to entry and have a history of active M&A activity to consolidate the market. The brand, reputation and track record of quality are key in this industry. The threat from new entrants is mitigated by the regulated nature of significant parts of the industry meaning the need to be certified, approved by regulatory authorities and audited by the customers.
SGS is the global leader in the TIC market, competing directly with the two other large global diversified companies, Bureau Veritas and Intertek. The company also competes with a number of more sector-focused operators such as Eurofins Scientific SE (Baa3 Stable, food and biopharma), ALS Global (minerals and life sciences), Core Labs (oil and gas) and Applus (automotive and industrial).

As a result of the fragmentation, there is significant M&A activity in the market, largely bolt-on acquisitions that contribute additional footprint, technical capabilities or products to the acquirer’s portfolio and can be a more efficient use of capital than the large investments required to compete effectively with the acquiree. The company continues to accelerate its M&A activity as part of its 2020 strategic plan, with six acquisitions completed in 2020. Most notably the acquisition of SYNLAB Analytics & Services (A&S) for a consideration of €550 million further aligns SGS to the Health, Nutrition and Environment TIC megatrends and enhances its market position in Europe. It also adds a range of complex services and accelerates the adoption of its hub and spoke model, offering greater scope for automation and digitalization and generating strong operating synergies. This was the largest acquisition in the Group’s history, enhancing its market position in Europe and accelerating the adoption of its hub and spoke model, offering greater scope for automation and digitalisation. It also confirms the next stage of the Group’s strategic evolution, which further aligns the Group with the key TIC megatrends of Health, Nutrition and Environment.

On 7 January 2021, the Group announced that it had acquired Analytical & Development Services in the UK, a fully accredited food testing laboratory offering pesticides, nutrition, microbiology, food molecular biology and allergen testing services.

The Group expects to complete the acquisition of the lab facilities of International Service Laboratory from Novartis Ireland Limited in Ireland, providing regulated analytical laboratory and stability testing services for a broad variety of pharmaceutical products during the first half of 2021.

**Exposure to innovation and technologic changes represent risks and opportunities**

The TIC sector is well-suited to technological innovation and is expected to see considerable change both in the way services are delivered and in the digitalisation of its customer base. Innovations in robotics, automation, the use of sensors, data analytics and artificial intelligence, are expected to change the industry over the medium term. In addition the growth of e-commerce is changing the nature of TIC requirements with sourcing, fraud detection and assurance and cyber-security key service elements. The attractiveness of this market is evident by the high adjusted operating income margins achieved by SGS’s consumer and retail division, at 25% in 2020, well ahead of group total (16.1%).

These changes are expected to bring opportunities but also the threat of disruption. Some of the more labour-intensive and simple tasks, such as physical inspections have been automated using digital inspections or drones, reducing operating costs and increasing efficiency but also increasing the risk of added competition and disintermediation as customers could potentially take these TIC functions back in house.

However we view the larger TIC companies such as SGS as well placed to respond to these challenges, with their scale, strength of reputation, global networks and long-term customer relationships enabling companies to invest in and commercialise new technologies.

SGS is adapting its offering in response to these changes with an investment programme to improve its technology platform and develop its business model as well as through selective technology-driven acquisitions.

**Increased leverage in 2020 will decrease going forward**

As a result of the impact of the coronavirus outbreak, the company’s (Moody’s-adjusted, ) gross leverage increased to 3x 2020 from 2x in the prior year. The company retains significant levels of cash and marketable securities of approximately CHF1,785 million as at 31 December 2020 and net leverage is 1.7x on a Moody’s-adjusted basis.
Management implemented cash preservation measures during H1 2020 that resulted in strong cash flow generation in the context of declining revenues and challenging profitability in 2020. Our expectation is that market conditions will continue to recover over the next 12 months, and that SGS’s leverage will reach 2.5x by the end of this year and continue to delever towards 2x in 2022.

SGS’s M&A strategy is well defined and the annual expenditure is relatively low in the context of cash generation, as it is combined with the ongoing portfolio optimisation, which includes the disposal of already identified underperforming and non-core business.

Dividend distributions and the company’s share buyback programmes tend to be financed from internally generated cash with limited requirements for additional debt funding.

Whilst the company has not stated a leverage target, management has expressed their commitment to an investment grade rating with gross leverage around 2.5x.

**Exposure to emerging market currencies and risks of ongoing currency depreciation against the Swiss franc**

Currency movements have had a significant impact on historic trading results, as the company has very limited trading activity in CHF (representing less than 2% of revenue). Adverse currency movements have been experienced in each year since 2009 except for 2012 and 2017, in 2020 it was 2.1%.

The largest currency exposures are to the euro (25.2% of H1 2020 revenues), Chinese renminbi (14.8%), and US Dollar (9.9%) and around half of revenues are in emerging markets currencies. The high emerging markets exposure is likely to give rise to long-term currency depreciation given the higher inflation rates in these economies. The company seeks to mitigate these risks through both inflation and currency indexation in a proportion of its contracts.

As a result of exposure to depreciating emerging market currencies we expect that SGS will continue to experience currency depreciation reducing revenue growth by an average rate of around 1-2% per annum, although with significant annual variations.
Environmental, Social and Governance considerations
Exposure to environmental risks with respect to air, water and soil pollution, water shortages and carbon regulation is considered low for SGS. SGS is more exposed to social risks such as cybersecurity, societal vulnerability, corruption and talent retention amongst others. The structural trends that underpin the expected growth in the TIC industry are heavily linked to environmental and social considerations, given the increased focus on product quality, safety standards and sustainability from consumers, and the expanding regulation on environmental and product safety considerations, which should support demand for SGS’s services. This is despite the operational challenges posed by the coronavirus outbreak, which we consider as a social risk under our ESG framework, given the substantial implications for public health and safety.

The company’s reputation as a trusted provider is critical to its success and any decline in its standing, through poor quality of testing or weakness in its control environment could have a material effect. The company has a strong control culture to protect its reputation. It operates staff rotation, has a matrix reporting structure with local country managers and divisional heads, and carries out internal audit procedures. Quality issues continue to be relatively limited and isolated in nature to our knowledge.

ESG considerations are well embedded into the group’s KPIs and its management structure, with sustainability considerations included and considered as part of the group’s risk management framework. The CEO is the head of Sustainability, and the Board is ultimately responsible for reviewing sustainability risks as part of its annual risk review.

SGS is led by a Board of Directors with significant breadth and depth of industry experience. The composition of the Board has changed substantially over the last two years, with four out of ten directors elected for the first time to the Board during that time, including the new Chairman, who was elected to the board in 2019 and as Chairman in March 2020. We note that the new board members bring in depth experience in digital and e-commerce industries, robotics and automation, aligned to some of the main challenges and opportunities the industry is currently facing. The von Finck family, a long standing shareholder of SGS, divested a c.15% stake during 2020 leaving them with around 3%. We expect that this disposal will bring further changes to the Board at the next election. The senior management team consists of industry veterans with substantial experience in the industry. We positively note the addition of the Chief Information Officer to SGS’s Operations Council in 2019.

Liquidity Analysis
SGS has a good liquidity position with balance sheet cash and marketable securities of CHF1,785 million at 31 December 2020. It also has a commercial paper programme of €1 billion and committed RCFs of CHF600 million available until 2021 which were undrawn as at 31 December 2020 (to be renewed).
Rating Methodology and Scorecard Factors
The principal methodology used in rating SGS was the Business and Consumer Service Industry Rating Methodology published in October 2016. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

Exhibit 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor 1 : Scale (20%)</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Revenue (USD Billion)</td>
<td>$6.0</td>
<td>Baa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 2 : Business Profile (20%)</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Demand Characteristics</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Competitive Profile</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 3 : Profitability (10%)</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) EBITA Margin</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 4 : Leverage and Coverage (40%)</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Debt / EBITDA</td>
<td>3.0x</td>
<td>Baa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) EBITA / Interest</td>
<td>15.4x</td>
<td>Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) RCF / Net Debt</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 5 : Financial Policy (10%)</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Financial Policy</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating:</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Scorecard-Indicated Outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baa1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Actual Rating Assigned</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1] All ratios are based on ’Adjusted’ financial data and incorporate Moody’s Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. [2] Based on audited accounts as of 12/31/2020. [3] This represents Moody’s forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures.

Source: Moody’s Financial Metrics™

Ratings

Exhibit 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Moody’s Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGS SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlook</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuer Rating -Dom Curr</td>
<td>A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST Issuer Rating</td>
<td>P-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS NEDERLAND HOLDING B.V.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlook</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bkd Sr Unsec MTN</td>
<td>[P]A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bkd Commercial Paper</td>
<td>P-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST Issuer Rating</td>
<td>P-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Moody’s Investors Service
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