TECHNICAL WHITE PAPER

Skin longevity: from ancient wisdom to modern clinical evaluation

Science Inspired, Quality Driven.

Humanity's quest for youthful, resilient skin spans thousands of years. Ancient civilizations such as Egypt and Mesopotamia, along with traditional Chinese and Ayurvedic medicine, relied on natural oils, herbs and ritual practices to preserve vitality and resilience. While these methods were rooted in cultural wisdom, their efficacy was largely supported by anecdotal rather than scientific evidence. The emergence of biomedical research in the 20th century transformed skincare, shifting it from tradition-driven practices to measurable biological science and establishing the foundation for today's evidence-based approaches to skin longevity.

Throughout the 20th century, there has been a shift from surface-level cosmetic interventions to probing cellular and molecular mechanisms. Early scientific inquiries highlighted the importance of vitamins, antioxidants and collagen in maintaining skin structure and function.[1] Today, skin longevity is recognized as a multifaceted concept involving not just visible aesthetics but also the preservation of skin's physiological integrity over time.[2]

This scientific evolution reflects a shift from traditional approaches to measurable biological targets, setting the foundation for objective evaluation methodologies that support evidence-based validation of skincare products designed to extend the skin's health span.

Biological mechanisms and influencing factors

A scientific understanding of skin longevity involves unraveling the mechanisms that drive skin aging at the cellular and molecular levels. Cellular senescence – a state in which skin cells permanently cease dividing and secrete pro-inflammatory factors – is a key driver of diminished tissue regeneration and accumulation of senescent cells in the dermis and epidermis.[3] Oxidative stress, largely caused by reactive oxygen species generated from UV radiation and environmental pollutants, damages DNA, lipids and proteins, exacerbating cellular senescence and accelerating the deterioration of skin function.[4]

Additionally, telomere shortening – the progressive loss of protective chromosome end caps during cell division – limits the replicative lifespan of skin cells and compromises their regenerative capacity.[5] Hormonal changes, particularly reductions in estrogen with age, negatively affect collagen synthesis and elastin integrity, impairing skin's biomechanical properties such as firmness and elasticity.[6] These intrinsic factors are further compounded by extrinsic influences like photoaging, smoking and lifestyle stresses, which amplify oxidative damage and disrupt skin barrier functions.[7]

Together, these converging theories form a biological framework underlying skin longevity, enabling researchers and clinicians to identify biomarkers and clinical endpoints that reliably gauge skin health and aging. This integrative knowledge is essential for developing interventions and objectively validating skincare products intended to preserve or enhance skin longevity.

Non-invasive clinical tools to measure skin longevity

Skin longevity refers to the skin's ability to maintain youthful structure and function over time. Clinical assessment relies on a spectrum of objective, reproducible and non-invasive measurement techniques. These tools provide essential data to quantify aging signs and biological changes without harming the skin, thereby strengthening the substantiation of skincare product claims.
A comprehensive evaluation typically integrates multiple modalities to capture visible, functional and molecular aspects of skin aging.[8,9]

Macro imaging

Macro imaging encompasses high-resolution digital photography and advanced three-dimensional (3D) imaging systems that document the surface features of aging skin, such as wrinkles, fine lines, texture irregularities, pigmentation and volumetric changes. These visualizations provide both qualitative and quantitative data, enabling longitudinal monitoring of skin condition and treatment effects.

Standardized high-resolution photography remains widely used due to its accessibility and capacity to capture fine surface details under controlled lighting and positioning.[10] More sophisticated 3D profilometric imaging technologies, including fringe projection and stereometric analysis, produce detailed topographical maps that facilitate the objective measurement of wrinkle depth, skin roughness and volumetric changes.[11] Specialized software processes the images to generate quantifiable parameters, enabling statistical evaluation of changes over time.[12]

This visual evidence supports clinical evaluation through objective surface analysis and strengthens consumer communication by providing compelling before-and-after documentation. However, imaging alone cannot reveal functional or molecular status beneath the skin surface, necessitating complementary assessment methods.

Care should be taken to address challenges such as variability due to inconsistent lighting, camera settings and subject posture. Overall, macro imaging remains a cornerstone method in skin longevity research and product evaluation due to its non-invasiveness, reproducibility and direct relevance to visible signs of aging.

Biomechanical parameters

Skin viscoelastic properties (elasticity, firmness, tonicity and suppleness) are biomechanical parameters reflecting the integrity and functionality of collagen and elastin networks. Age-related fragmentation, increased cross-linking and decreased synthesis of these proteins result in reduced skin elasticity, firmness and tonicity, contributing to key visible markers of aging such as skin sagging and wrinkles.

Non-invasive instruments used to measure viscoelastic properties include the cutometer, elastometer and ballistometer.[13] The cutometer, the most widely used skin biomechanical device, exerts a defined negative pressure on the skin surface, measuring the skin's ability to deform and return to its original shape by analyzing suction and relaxation curves. This yields indices such as immediate deformation, final deformation and elastic recovery, which quantitatively reflect skin firmness and viscoelasticity. Elastometers similarly assess skin displacement under suction, while ballistometers evaluate bounce-back characteristics after mechanical impact, providing complementary biomechanical insights.

Clinical studies consistently correlate decreased elasticity with advancing age and photoaging, making it a primary endpoint for evaluating anti-aging and skin longevity interventions. Monitoring biomechanical parameters over time is used to demonstrate treatment-induced improvements and provide objective evidence of efficacy.

While these tools provide reproducible and quantitative data, skin biomechanical measurements are influenced by several factors, including hydration status, anatomical site, measurement pressure and environmental temperature, necessitating stringent protocol standardization to minimize variability.

Hydration and barrier function

Skin surface hydration and barrier function form the foundational functional properties upon which skin longevity depends. Adequate hydration maintains epidermal plasticity, supports enzymatic repair mechanisms and strengthens the skin's defense against external stressors, which are critical for slowing aging processes and preserving youthful skin texture.

Skin surface hydration and trans-epidermal water loss (TEWL) measurements represent the primary non-invasive biophysical techniques to assess these parameters.[14,15] Skin surface hydration is typically assessed by measuring skin electrical properties (impedance or capacitance), which directly correlate with hydration levels. Conversely, TEWL meters quantify the passive evaporation rate of water through the skin barrier, an inverse indicator of barrier integrity – higher TEWL values reflect compromised barriers typical of damaged or compromised skin.

Age-related decline in skin hydration levels and increased TEWL contribute to visible dryness, rough texture and susceptibility to irritants, accelerating visible and functional aging.[16,17]

Advanced non-invasive methods like in vivo confocal Raman spectroscopy augment these assessments by enabling layered quantification of water distribution within skin strata and providing nuanced insights into hydration dynamics and barrier quality not achievable with surface measurements alone.[18]

Biomarker analysis

Beyond visual and functional metrics, molecular biomarker analysis from non-invasive skin surface sampling methods provides a complementary dimension that is crucial for understanding and quantifying the biological underpinnings of skin longevity. Tape stripping and swabbing of the stratum corneum enable minimally invasive collection of cellular and molecular components that reflect the skin's physiological state and aging processes.

Tape stripping involves applying adhesive tapes sequentially to peel off corneocytes and extracellular lipid material from the skin surface, whereas swabbing enables the collection of soluble proteins, lipids and microbiota. These methods are well tolerated and allow repeated sampling with minimal discomfort, making them ideal for clinical trial settings or longitudinal monitoring.

Biomarkers that can be analyzed cover a broad spectrum relevant to skin aging biology:

- Inflammatory cytokines (e.g. interleukins, IFN-γ, TNF-α): chronic low-grade inflammation ('inflammaging') disrupts skin homeostasis, promoting degradation and impairing repair mechanisms[19]
- Oxidative stress markers (e.g. malondialdehyde, 8-OHdG): indicators of reactive oxygen species-induced damage to DNA, lipids and proteins, relevant to photoaging and environmental stress
- Collagen and elastin degradation enzymes (matrix metalloproteinases): dermal matrix fragmentation and decreased recovery directly impact skin firmness and elasticity
- Barrier lipids (e.g. ceramides): lipid composition changes affect barrier function and hydration, critical determinants of skin health and appearance
- Microbiome alterations: shifts in commensal skin flora influence immune defense and skin barrier regulation, increasingly recognized as integral to aging skin resilience

Quantifying these markers enables a multi-level understanding of the skin's biological age and the efficacy of interventions targeting longevity pathways. For example, reductions in pro-inflammatory markers coupled with normalized ceramide levels correlate with improved barrier function and clinical appearance.

Advancements in multiplex immunoassays and omics technologies facilitate high-throughput, comprehensive profiling of biomarker panels, permitting systems biology approaches that integrate molecular data with clinical endpoints for robust evaluation.

Leveraging clinical insights to advance skin longevity claims

Effective assessment of skin longevity demands an integrated clinical approach that combines multiple non-invasive methods to capture the complexities of the aging process. Macro imaging offers objective visualization of visible changes in wrinkles, texture and volume. Biomechanical measurements provide quantitative data reflecting the structural integrity of collagen and elastin networks. Hydration and barrier function assessments further illuminate the skin's physiological resilience against environmental and intrinsic aging stressors. Complementing these, molecular biomarker analysis from skin surface samples reveals underlying biological pathways, including inflammation, oxidative stress and matrix degradation.

Together, these complementary tools form a robust, multi-dimensional evaluation framework that substantiates product claims with scientific rigor. This integrated approach not only documents visible improvements but also uncovers molecular and functional changes, providing compelling evidence of efficacy. Advances in imaging, omics technologies and standardized clinical protocols are enhancing the precision, depth and predictive value of these assessments. Ultimately, combining these clinical insights empowers researchers, clinicians and consumers alike with transparent, objective proof supporting interventions designed to preserve and extend skin vitality over time, paving the way for innovation and trust in the growing field of skin longevity.

This convergence of clinical insights enhances product development and marketing transparency while also empowering clinicians and consumers with an objective understanding of treatments designed to preserve skin vigor and delay aging. As the field of skin longevity develops, innovation in integrated assessment platforms will be essential to unlocking novel strategies for skin health span extension.

Contact our technical experts for a customized study design to address your products' claims toward skin health and longevity.

Contact us:

Cosmetics@sgs.com
www.sgs.com/cosmetics
www.sgs.com/linkedincosmetics

References

[1] Farage MA, Miller KW, Elsner P, Maibach HI. Intrinsic and extrinsic factors in skin ageing: a review. Int J Cosmet Sci. 2008 Oct;30(2):87-95 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2494.2007.00415.x
[2] Klinngam W, Chaiwichien A, Osotprasit S, Ruktanonchai U, Kanlayavattanakul M, Lourith N, Wongrakpanich A, Teeranachaideekul V, Iempridee T. Longevity cosmeceuticals as the next frontier in cosmetic innovation: a scientific framework for substantiating product claims. Frontiers in Aging. 2025 May 22;6:1586999 https://doi.org/10.3389/fragi.2025.1586999
[3] Campisi J, d'Adda di Fagagna F. Cellular senescence: when bad things happen to good cells. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2007 Sep;8(9):729-40 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2233
[4] Pinnell SR. Cutaneous photodamage, oxidative stress, and topical antioxidant protection. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2003 Jan;48(1):1-19 https://doi.org/10.1067/mjd.2003.16
[5] Buckingham EM, Klingelhutz AJ. The role of telomeres in the ageing of human skin. Exp Dermatol. 2011 Apr;20(4):297-302 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0625.2010.01242.x
[6] Brincat M. Hormone replacement therapy and the skin. Maturitas. 2000;35(2):107-17 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5122(00)00097-9
[7] Rittié L, Fisher GJ. Natural and sun-induced aging of human skin. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2015 Nov 2;5(1):a015370 https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a015370
[8] Dobos G, Lichterfeld A, Blume-Peytavi U, Kottner J. Evaluation of skin ageing: a systematic review of clinical skin ageing scales. Br J Dermatol. 2015;172(5):1249-1261 https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.13509
[9] Bielfeldt S, Springmann G, Seise M, Wilhelm KP, Callaghan T. An updated review of clinical methods in the assessment of ageing skin - New perspectives and evaluation for claims support. Int J Cosmet Sci. 2018;40(4):348-360 https://doi.org/10.1111/ics.12484
[10] Wilhelm KP, Elsner P, Berardesca E, Maibach HI, editors. Bioengineering of the skin: skin imaging & analysis. CRC press; 2006 Sep 27 https://doi.org/10.3109/9781420005516
[11] Artopoulos A, Buytaert JA, Dirckx JJ, Coward TJ. Comparison of the accuracy of digital stereophotogrammetry and projection moiré profilometry for three-dimensional imaging of the face. Int J Oral Maxillofacial Surg. 2014 May 1;43(5):654-62 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2013.10.005
[12] Hamer MA, Jacobs LC, Lall JS, Wollstein A, Hollestein LM, Rae AR, Gossage KW, Hofman A, Liu F, Kayser M, Nijsten T. Validation of image analysis techniques to measure skin aging features from facial photographs. Skin Res Technol. 2015 Nov;21(4):392-402 https://doi.org/10.1111/srt.12205
[13] Woo MS, Moon KJ, Jung HY, Park SR, Moon TK, Kim NS, Lee BC. Comparison of skin elasticity test results from the Ballistometer® and Cutometer®. Skin Res Technol. 2014 Nov;20(4):422-8 https://doi.org/10.1111/srt.12134
[14] Verdier-Sévrain S, Bonté F. Skin hydration: a review on its molecular mechanisms. J Cosm Dermatol. 2007 Jun;6(2):75-82 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1473-2165.2007.00300.x
[15] Klotz T, Ibrahim A, Maddern G, Caplash Y, Wagstaff M. Devices measuring transepidermal water loss: A systematic review of measurement properties. Skin Res Technol. 2022 Jul;28(4):497-539 https://doi.org/10.1111/srt.13159
[16] Boireau-Adamezyk E, Baillet-Guffroy A, Stamatas GN. The stratum corneum water content and natural moisturization factor composition evolve with age and depend on body site. Int J Dermatol. 2021:60(7), 834-839 https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.15417
[17] Boireau-Adamezyk E, Baillet-Guffroy A, Stamatas GN. Age-dependent changes in stratum corneum barrier function. Skin Res Technol. 2014 Nov;20(4):409-15 https://doi.org/10.1111/srt.12132
[18] Caspers PJ, Lucassen GW, Bruining HA, Puppels GJ. Automated depth-scanning confocal Raman microspectrometer for rapid in vivo determination of water concentration profiles in human skin. J Raman Spectroscopy. 2000 Aug 1;31(8-9):813-8 https://analyticalsciencejournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/1097-4555%28200008/09%2931%3A8/9%3C813%3A%3AAID-JRS573%3E3.0.CO%3B2-7
[19] Yadav E. Inflammation and Aging: The Skin Inflammasome in the Context of Longevity Science. J Cell Immunol. 2025 Apr 14;7(2):37-42 https://doi.org/10.33696/immunology.7.221
[20] Wang Z, Man MQ, Li T, Elias PM, Mauro TM. Aging-associated alterations in epidermal function and their clinical significance. Aging (Albany NY). 2020 Mar 27;12(6):5551 https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.102946